

Class 6: OT analysis session

To do

- Peperkamp study questions due Tuesday
- I'll post a homework Tuesday night on OT, due a week from Tuesday (due Feb. 3, that is)

1. What are some typical phenomena?

- When approaching a phonology problem, it's helpful to have some good top-down expectations.
- There is a very long tail of phonological processes that don't fit into any of these categories, but these still cover a lot of cases:
 - Some **segment types** are marked, and occur only when required by context
 - *NASALIZEDV in English, overridden only by $*\begin{bmatrix} V \\ -\text{nasal} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C \\ +\text{nasal} \end{bmatrix}$
 - **Syllable structure** restrictions, repaired by epenthesis or deletion
 - ONSET, NOCODA, *COMPLEXONSET, *COMPLEXCODA, HNUC/NAS (etc.)
 - **Sonority sequencing**: a complex onset must have increasing sonority ([tra], not [rta]); a complex coda must have falling sonority. Sometimes repaired by epenthesis.
 - **Vowel harmony**: one vowel causes a neighboring vowel to agree with it, including across an intervening consonant, and sometimes even across certain other vowels.
 - * $[\alpha\text{back}] C_0 [-\alpha\text{back}]$
 - also autosegmental approaches, which we'll discuss later
 - **Consonant place assimilation**: immediately adjacent consonants must agree in place (especially if the first one is nasal)
 - **Consonant voice assimilation**: adjacent obstruents must agree in voicing
 - **Final devoicing**: word- (or syllable-)final obstruents must be voiceless
 - **Vowel reduction**: Vowels in unstressed syllables display fewer contrasts than those in stressed syllables. They can also be shorter or devoiced, or delete.
 - $*\begin{bmatrix} -\text{stress} \\ +\text{low} \end{bmatrix}$
 - **Fortition**: Consonants that are word-initial, syllable-initial, stress-syllable-initial undergo "strengthening", such as aspiration, devoicing
 - **Lenition**: Consonants that are domain-final, unstressed, or V__V undergo "weakening", such as voicing, shortening, becoming a fricative ("spirantization"), or even deletion.
 - Limited **consonant-vowel interactions**:
 - A velar or coronal consonant becomes "palatal" (post-alveolar, alveo-palatal, palatal) next to a high front vowel
 - A consonant becomes fronter next to a front vowel, backer next to a back vowel
 - A vowel becomes nasalized next to a nasal consonant
- Plus all the the things that **stress** and **tone** can do, but let's save those for a later week.

2. OT warmup exercises

Do these individually. When done, look around for two other people who are done to form a group with. Compare your answers, then move on to the problems.

A. In the following example, the winning output for the input /park/ is [pa.rək].

- Account for this by writing the constraints *COMPLEXCODA, MAX-C, and DEP-V (don't insert a vowel) into the tableau in a correct ranking.
- Fill in the violations, exclamation mark(s) and shading.

	/park/			
a.	[park]			
☞ b.	[pa.rək]			
c.	[pa.rə.kə]			
d.	[par]			
e.	[pa]			

B. Fill in the asterisks, exclamation marks, shading, and pointing finger/arrow.

	/βada/	* V [-cont]	*FRICATIVE	IDENT(cont)
a.	bada			
b.	baða			
c.	βada			
d.	βaða			

What is the contrast status of β and b ? Assume that the above three constraints are the whole language.

- separate phonemes
- allophones of the same phoneme
- separate phonemes, but contextually neutralized

C. In the following example, the winning output for the input /ãzpã/ is [az.¹pã]

- Account for this by putting the constraints **IDENT(nasal)**, **IDENT(nasal)/stressed**, and ***[+nasal]** in the tableau in a correct ranking.
- Fill in the asterisks, exclamation marks and shading.

	/ãzpã/			
a.	[ãz.¹pã]			
☞ b.	[az.¹pã]			
c.	[ãz.¹pa]			
d.	[az.¹pa]			

- **IDENT(nasal)/stressed** = don't change the [nasal] value of a segment that is in a stressed output syllable.

D. French allows complex onsets. A toddler learning French named Théo¹ produced complex onsets, but not everywhere, as shown by the winners below.

- Account for this by putting the constraints **MAX-C**, **MAX-C/stressed**, and ***COMPLEXONSET** in the tableaux in a correct ranking.
- Fill in the asterisks, exclamation marks and shading.

	/¹gʁo/			
☞ a.	[¹gʁo]			
b.	[¹go]			
	/gʁy¹jo/	(same ranking as for <i>a</i> and <i>b</i>)		
c.	[gʁy.¹jo]			
☞ d.	[gy.¹jo]			

- **MAX-C/stressed** = a C in a stressed input syllable must have an output correspondent.

¹ From Yvan Rose's 2000 McGill dissertation, via Jesney & Tessier 2010

3. Makonde (from Odden 2005)

- Use **OT**—basically the same procedure as we used for rule problems last week, except once you think you know what’s conditioning an alternation, instead of writing a rule, try our tableau recipe (repeated below for reference)

Acute accent in these examples marks stress, whose position is predictable

<i>Repeated imperative</i>	<i>Past</i>	<i>Imperative</i>	
1. amáŋga	amíle	áma	‘move’
2. taváŋga	tavíle	táva	‘wrap’
3. akáŋga	akíle	áka	‘hunt’
4. patáŋga	patíle	póta	‘twist’
5. tatáŋga	tatíle	tóta	‘sew’
6. dabáŋga	dabíle	dóba	‘get tired’
7. aváŋga	avíle	óva	‘miss’
8. amáŋga	amíle	óma	‘pierce’
9. tapáŋga	tapíle	tépa	‘bend’
10. patáŋga	patíle	péta	‘separate’
11. aváŋga	avíle	éva	‘separate’
12. babáŋga	babíle	béba	‘hold like a baby’
13. utáŋga	utíle	úta	‘smoke’
14. lukáŋga	lukíle	lúka	‘plait’
15. lumáŋga	lumíle	lúma	‘bite’
16. uŋgáŋga	uŋgíle	úŋga	‘tie’
17. iváŋga	ivíle	íva	‘steal’
18. pitáŋga	pitíle	píta	‘pass’
19. imbáŋga	imbíle	ímba	‘dig’
20. limáŋga	limíle	líma	‘cultivate’

- Start with the winning candidate and the fully faithful candidate.
- If the winning candidate \neq the fully faithful candidate...
 - Add the markedness constraint(s) that rule out the fully faithful candidate.
 - Add the faithfulness constraints that the winning candidate violates.
 - Think of other ways to satisfy the markedness constraints that rule out the fully faithful candidate. Add those candidates, and the faithfulness and markedness constraints that rule them out. How far to take this step is a matter of judgment .
- If the winning candidate = the fully faithful candidate, then you are probably including this example only to show how faithfulness prevents satisfaction of a markedness constraint that, in other cases, causes deviation from the underlying form.
 - Add that markedness constraint.
 - Add one or more candidates that satisfy that markedness constraint.
 - Add the faithfulness constraints that rule out those candidates.

4. Two cases of metaphony

- From Walker 2005: Romance languages in which suffix vowels spread their [+high] feature to the stem.
- Since you may not have seen much autosegmentalism so far, you can just use one or more constraints requiring the stressed vowels of masculine plurals to have certain feature values.
- Develop an analysis for each language. Use the same constraints for both, just ranked differently.

Foggiano/Pugliese (Ethnologue classifies as dialect of Italian). Vowel inventory: i, e, ε, a, u, o, ɔ

pét-e	'foot'	pít-i	'feet'
móʃf-a	'soft (fem.)'	múʃf-u	'soft (masc.)'
kjén-a	'full (fem.)'	kjín-u	'full (masc.)'
gróss-a	'big (fem.)'	grúss-u	'big (masc.)'

Veneto (~ 6 million speakers in Italy/Slovenia/Croatia and Brazil) Same vowel inventory.

véd-o	'I see'	te víd-i	'you see'
kór-o	'I run'	te kúr-i	'you run'
prét-e	'priest'	prét-i	'priests'
bél-o	'beautiful (masc. sg.)'	bél-i	'beautiful (masc. pl.)'
mód-o	'way'	mód-i	'ways'
gát-o	'cat'	gát-i	'cats'

5. Ladakhi. Data from Norman 2005.

- May remind you of an assignment from last quarter
- Treat the affricate [tʃ] as a single consonant, not a sequence of two consonants.
- You may need “positional faithfulness” constraints like MAX-V/_v (“don’t delete a consonant that is underlyingly followed by a vowel”) and MAX-V/v_

	<i>gloss</i>		<i>gloss</i>		<i>gloss</i>
tʃik	‘1’	tʃuktʃik ²	‘11’		
nis	‘2’	tʃuknis	‘12’	nistʃu ³	‘20’
sum	‘3’	tʃuksum	‘13’	sumtʃu	‘30’
zi	‘4’	tʃupzi	‘14’	ziptʃu	‘40’
ŋa	‘5’	tʃuŋa ⁴	‘15’	ŋaptʃu	‘50’
tuk	‘6’	tʃutuk ⁵	‘16’	tuktʃu	‘60’
dun	‘7’	tʃupdun	‘17’	duntʃu	‘70’
giat	‘8’	tʃupgiat ⁶	‘18’	giattʃu	‘80’
gu	‘9’	tʃurgu	‘19’	guptʃu	‘90’
tʃu	‘10’				

² Really [tʃukʃik], but ignore that irregularity.

³ Really [niʃu], but ignore that irregularity.

⁴ Really [tʃoŋa] (vowel harmony), but ignore that.

⁵ Really [tʃuruk] but ignore that.

⁶ Really [tʃopgiat] (vowel harmony), but ignore that.

6. Finished already? Here's a tricky one from Turkish for you then

- Explain the distribution of [c], [k], [ʃ], [g]—tricky because your data are static distributional data instead of alternations

cirpir	‘eyelashes’	sakaʃ	‘beard’
cir	‘dirt’	gaʃat	‘mistake’
cil	‘clay’	gaz	‘gas’
hejcel	‘statue’	kuuz	‘girl’
ec	‘supplement’	guda	‘food’
jelir	‘comes’	uk ⁷	‘white’
jøz	‘eye’	kol	‘arm’
		kajjuk	‘boat’

- Explain choice of epenthetic vowel—while still explaining distribution of [c, k, ʃ, g]

foreign word (approx.) Turkish borrowing

trẽ	tiren	‘train’
priz	piriz	‘plug’
frẽ	firen	‘brake’
tramvaj	tuuramvaj	‘tramway’
star	sutar	‘star’
flyt	fylyt	‘flute’
flørt	fylørt	‘flirt’
bluz	buluz	‘blouse’
blok	bulok	‘block’
grip	gurip	‘influenza’
krem	kuurem	‘cream’
krẽdi	kuuredi	‘credit’
kravat	kuuravat	‘tie’
gram	guuram	‘gram’
klyb	kulyb	‘club’
zyri	zyri	‘jury’
kalori	kalori	‘calorie’
steno	siteno	‘steno’
kristal	kuuristal	‘crystal’
brõʃit	buronʃit	‘bronchitis’
broʃyr	buroʃyr	‘brochure’

⁷ It's really [ak], but I changed it to make it easier.

References

- Bright, William. 1957. *The Karok language*. University of California Press.
- Kenstowicz, Michael & Charles Kisseberth. 1979. *Generative Phonology: Description and Theory*. New York: Academic Press.
- Lewis, M. Paul (ed.). 2009. *Ethnologue: languages of the world*. 16th ed. Dallas, TX: SIL International.
- Odden, David. 2005. *Introducing phonology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Walker, Rachel. 2005. Weak Triggers in Vowel Harmony. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 23(4). 917–989.