

Study questions for Peperkamp 2005

Peperkamp, Sharon. 2005. A Psycholinguistic Theory of Loanword Adaptations. In: M. Ettliger, N. Fleischer & M. Park-Doob (eds.) *Proceedings of the 30th Annual Meeting of the Berkeley Linguistics Society*. Berkeley, CA: The Society, 341-352

Note

- P. 344: “Moraic nasal” is the term often used to refer to coda nasals in Japanese. When no vowel follows, as in Peperkamp’s examples, the realization tends to be a uvular nasal or a nasalized copy of the preceding vowel.

Questions (2 of them)

1. Consider the Fula data in (4). Peperkamp’s perceptual account should be translatable into a Steriadean P-map account. Make P-map style tableaux for ‘card’ and ‘table’, with the French form as input and the Fula form as winning output. This means you will need to decide what faithfulness constraints are involved and how the P-map ought to cause them to be ranked to account for Fula. Of course, you’ll also need one or more markedness constraints to rule out a faithful mapping. (Peperkamp wouldn’t claim that this mapping is the same type of operation as a mapping from an underlying form to a surface form.)

(one more question on other side)

2. Supposing tht the data in (4) do give us evidence about the P-map, how could a Steriadean explain the native-word data in (5)? I don't have a clear answer in mind here—just discuss the issue (no need to fill up the whole page! A few sentences is fine).