Meroitic writing. It is, however, likely that Rilly’s choice in transcriptions for the letter h with different diacritics to distinguish different sign values will be replaced by a more computer-friendly choice of, for instance, h and x, as can be found in related literature.

The grammatical section discusses the characteristics of a language that is far removed from Egyptian. Nilo-Saharan languages are spoken by peoples not well known to the general public. The better-known examples of Masai, Dinka, and Luo are far removed from the group of North Eastern Sudanic languages of which Meroitic is a member. The closest language to Meroitic is Old Nubian, for which few experts exist but which may provide a useful secondary study for those not trained in African linguistics.

Despite the fact that Meroitic is not well understood and that important parts of narrative texts cannot be translated, the grammar section still takes up about eighty pages. It provides suggestions as well as more secure findings, but most of all explains, for example, the genitive and locative constructions alien to those unfamiliar with this language family.

A thorough study of neighboring languages is necessary for an increased understanding of Meroitic. Rilly’s study cannot provide that detail. The section on grammar is an introduction for aspiring Meroiticists coming from a background in Egyptology and will serve as comparative material for African linguists. The detailed knowledge that Rilly possesses on neighboring languages awaits publication in one or more additional volumes.

In part five, Rilly contrasts different methods for decipherment. He presents the foundation for his future work, since in the long term a historical comparison of the Meroitic language will be necessary for its better understanding. For this purpose a proto-North Eastern Sudanic language must be reconstructed on the basis of presently known members of the language family of Meroitic. Both the identification of the language group to which Meroitic belongs and the reconstruction of a proto-language are the current and future work of Rilly.

While Rilly will concentrate on language reconstruction, there remain many years of work for other scholars interested in Meroitic inscriptions. The aforementioned texts from Qasr Ibrahim but also the many inscriptions in various museum collections require documentation, transcription, and, where possible, translation. Before the publication of this work, the information essential to such tasks was scattered, out-of-date, and largely confused. Rilly has made a commendable effort to allow the Repertoire d’Epigraphie Méroïtique, of which he is also editor, to be updated by scholars other than himself. It is hoped that this book is not the end of his scholarship but only the beginning.

ALEX DE VOOGT
AMERICAN MUSEUM OF NATURAL HISTORY, NEW YORK


The present work is a revised and extended version of a book that first appeared in Polish as Ludy i języki starożytniej Anatolii (Warsaw 1999). The author is a distinguished Hittitologist with special expertise in religion and ritual. Popko assumes a properly broad perspective for his topic. Following an introduction and discussion of personal names from ancient Asia Minor, he discusses Hattic and other possible pre-Indo-European languages of the area, then in turn members of the Anatolian subgroup of Indo-European, followed by other Indo-European-speaking groups with a presence in Asia Minor and finally other relevant non-Indo-European languages. The temporal parameters are roughly the second and first millennia B.C.E., but Popko does not hesitate to exceed these modestly when a coherent discussion demands it. Likewise, the geographic coverage extends beyond the strict confines of Anatolia or Asia Minor where appropriate. An appendix offers two very helpful maps.

An outstanding strength of the book is that Popko strikes an excellent balance in neither taking too much for granted nor talking down to the general reader. He likewise confronts openly and honestly
the very difficult issue of the relationship between language and ethnicity and that of how one is even to define the notion of a "people" (a significant advance over previous comparable treatments of the topic). At the same time he does not belabor the point unduly or burden the reader with excessive academic jargon and hairsplitting.

Any author presenting a general survey must make reasoned choices regarding matters of controversy, accepting that another specialist would choose differently. With a single exception (see point [3] below), I find Popko's choices eminently justified and no cause for criticism. His characterization of the relationships among the various languages (or lack thereof) is fundamentally sound and reliable, though readers should be warned that the assumed relatedness of Hattic to (North)west Caucasian (pp. 41–44) is distinctly less assured than he implies.

According to the preface, Popko made an effort to make this revised version as current as possible, and he deserves special credit for the remarkably up-to-date description of Carian, where recent developments have brought dramatic changes in our understanding. The account of the two forms of Luvian also reflects many of the important revisions made in the scholarship of the last thirty years. One cannot reasonably expect encyclopedic knowledge of the ever-burgeoning secondary literature on all the languages. However, in view of the merits just cited, it is rather frustrating that nearly all of the descriptions of the Anatolian Indo-European languages (those this reviewer is most competent to assess) are still marred by some outdated analyses. Fortunately, most of these involve matters of mere detail that do not seriously distort the overall picture of the languages described. I cite here only a few points that have major consequences for the respective languages.

(1) While the new discovery by P. Goedegebuure that Hittite šu- means ‘one’ is duly cited (p. 57), Popko fails to mention her far more important demonstration that Hittite shows a three-way deictic contrast of kâ-, apâ-, and aš-eqivalent to Latin hic, iste, and ille, not the two-way contrast previously assumed. See P. Goedegebuure, “The Hittite 3rd person/distal demonstrative aši (uni, eni etc.),” Die Sprache 43/1 (2002–3): 1–32.

(2) In describing the nominal inflection of Luvian, Lycian, and Lydian, Popko entirely ignores the well-documented phenomenon of ‘i-mutation’ (aka ‘i-Motion’), although this peculiar feature is a pervasive and defining characteristic of these languages with significant implications for the inner dialectal relationships of Anatolian, as shown by F. Starke, Untersuchung zur Stammbildung des keilschriftluwischen Nomens (Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 1990), 56–85.

(3) The Lydian letter + has been interpreted as either a bilabial stop /p/ or a labiovelar /kʷ/ (transliterated as q). Popko (p. 114) argues for the former, citing among other reasons that otherwise the language would lack a voiceless bilabial stop. It has long been established, however, that the Lydian letter conventionally transliterated as b (because its shape resembles a Greek beta) actually represents a voiceless bilabial stop /p/; see already R. Gusman, “Sulle consonanti del lidio,” Oriens Antiquus 4 (1965): 204–5. The letter + must therefore represent another sound, and its appearance in the interrogative-relative stem qi- argues decisively for a value /kʷ/.


Despite these and the other far less serious infelicities alluded to above, Popko has more than ably accomplished the goal of such a survey. More accurate grammatical descriptions are available for many of the individual languages: for Hittite, e.g., E. Rieken, “Hethitisch,” in Sprachen des alten Orient, ed. Michael P. Streck (Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft, 2005), 80–127. However, these are typically scattered through various anthologies, which are themselves often large, expensive, and accessible only in academic libraries. They also lack the unifying and contextualizing framework provided by Popko. This book offers German-language readers a compact, affordable, and essentially reliable guide to the many languages of ancient Anatolia and their speakers.