

Evidentiality (252)
 UCLA, Spring 2011
 Jessica Rett, rett@ucla.edu

where: Rolfe 3127

when: Wednesdays, 2-5pm

office hours: by appointment

expectations: weekly reading; in-class presentation; a 10–20p term paper due at **noon on Friday, June 11**;
 please discuss your topic with me by **May 21st**.

	day	topic	readings for the week*
1	March 30	welcome speech	Speas (2008) Aikhenvald (2004) Chs 3 & 4
2	April 6	evidentiality and speech act theory 1	Faller (2002) Chs 4 & 5 <i>Sadock (2006)</i>
3	April 13	evidentiality and speech act theory 2	Faller (2006) Davis et al. (2007)
4	April 20	evidentiality and mood 1	Izvorski (1997); Rooryck (2001a,b) <i>Kratzer (1977, 1981); Faller (2002) §3.5</i>
5	April 27	evidentiality and mood 2	Matthewson et al. (2008) Matthewson (2011)
6	May 4	evidentiality and tense 1	Nikolaeva (1999) <i>Partee (1973, 1984)</i>
7	May 11	evidentiality and tense 2	Chung (2007) Lee (2008)
8	May 18	evidentiality and (non)-at-issue-content 1	Murray (2010) Chs 2–4
9	May 25	evidentiality and (non)-at-issue content 2	Murray (2010) Chs 5–7
10	June 1	evidentiality and mirativity	DeLancey (1997, 2001)

* *Italicized* readings show background material, non-at-issue content.

References

- Aikhenvald, A. (2004). *Evidentiality*. Oxford University Press.
- Chung, K.-S. (2007). Spatial deictic tense and evidentials in Korean. *Natural Language Semantics*, 15:187–219.
- Davis, C., Potts, C., and Speas, P. (2007). The pragmatic values of evidential sentences. In Gibson, M. and Friedman, T., editors, *Proceedings of SALT XVII*. CLC Publications.
- DeLancey, S. (1997). Mirativity: the grammatical marking of unexpected information. *Linguistic Typology*, 1:33–52.
- DeLancey, S. (2001). The mirative and evidentiality. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 33:369–382.
- Faller, M. (2002). *Semantics and Pragmatics of evidentials in Cuzco Quechua*. PhD Thesis, Stanford University.
- Faller, M. (2006). Evidentiality above and below speech acts. In *Functions of language*. John Benjamins.
- Izvorski, R. (1997). The present perfect as an epistemic modal. In Lawson, A., editor, *Proceedings of SALT XII*, pages 222–239. Cornell University.
- Kratzer, A. (1977). What ‘must’ and ‘can’ must and can mean. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, 1:337–356.
- Kratzer, A. (1981). The notional category of modality. In Eikmeyer, H.-J. and Reiser, H., editors, *Words, Worlds and Contexts*, pages 38–74. Walter de Gruyter.
- Lee, J. (2008). The Korean evidential *-te*: a modal analysis. In Bonami, O. and Cabredo-Hofherr, P., editors, *Empirical Issues in Syntax and Semantics 7*, pages 1–25. CNRS.
- Matthewson, L. (2011). On apparently non-modal evidentials. In Bonami, O. and Cabredo-Hofherr, P., editors, *Empirical issues in syntax and semantics 8*, pages 333–357. CNRS.
- Matthewson, L., Davis, H., and Rullmann, H. (2008). Evidentials as epistemic modals: Evidence from St’át’imcets. In van Craenenbroeck, J., editor, *Linguistic Variation Yearbook volume 7*. John Benjamins.
- Murray, S. (2010). *Evidentiality and the structure of speech acts*. PhD Thesis, Rutgers University.
- Nikolaeva, I. (1999). The semantics of Northern Kstyak evidentials. *Journal de la Société Finno Ougrienne*.
- Partee, B. (1973). Some analogies between tenses and pronouns in English. *Journal of Philosophy*, 70:601–609.

- Partee, B. (1984). Nominal and temporal anaphora. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, 7(3):243–286.
- Rooryck, J. (2001a). Evidentiality, Part I. *Glott International*, 5:125–133.
- Rooryck, J. (2001b). Evidentiality Part II. *Glott International*, 5:161–168.
- Sadock, J. (2006). Speech acts. In Horn, L. and Ward, G., editors, *Handbook of Pragmatics*, pages 53–73. Blackwell.
- Speas, M. (2008). On the syntax and semantics of evidentials. *Language and Linguistics Compass*, 2:940–965.