CV Metathesis as Copy and Deletion: Evidence from Kwara'ae

1 Introduction

- Kwara'ae (Austronesian: Southeastern Solomonic) has a robust process of CV metathesis (see below). All the data in this handout, except where noted, comes from Sophie Streeter, a native speaker of Kwara'ae, to whom I extend my deepest gratitude.¹
- Words in Kwara'ae have two pronunciations, one for each speech register; these are called the Citation and Normal forms. These registers are related by CV metathesis: a process in which $C_1V_1C_2V_2$ sequences in the Citation form are $C_1V_1V_2C_2$ sequences in the Normal form.
- Examples. Underlined segments in the Citation form are metathesized in the Normal form.

(1)		Citation	Normal	
	a.	$\sin \underline{na}$	'sien	'sun'
	b.	bo.'be. <u>?a</u>	bo.bea?	'fat
	c.	'?i. <u>hi</u> . te. <u>?i</u>	'?i'h. tei?	'bed'
	d.	da. <u>ro</u> . ?a. ni. <u>da</u>	daor.?a.nied	'to share them'
	e.	ra.?e. ra.?e. na.?a	rae?. rae?. na·?	'incline, slope'

1.1 Purpose

• Present a third previously unnoticed allomorph, which I call the Focus Final form.

(2)		Citation	Normal	$Normal]_{focus}$	
	a.	$\sin \underline{na}$	'sien	sie. na	'sun'
	b.	bo.'be. <u>?a</u>	bo. bea?	bo. bea. ?a	'fat
	c.	'?i. <u>hi</u> . te. <u>?i</u>	'?i·h.ˌtei̯?	?i·h. tei. ?i	'bed'

- Describe the environment where this allomorph occurs, and its relevant surface properties.
- Provide a synchronic analysis of the phonological properties of the Focus Final form.

1.2 Background

• The Normal form is the speech register used in normal discourse.²

¹I also sincerely thank my advisor Kie Zuraw and the other members of my MA committee Bruce Hayes and Pam Munro. They have each contributed significantly to this work. I also would like to thank Colin Wilson, Donca Steriade, Jaye Padgett, Andy Martin, Katya Petrosova, Greg Kobele, Leston Buell, Jason Riggle, Lauren Varner and all the members of the Fall 2004 UCLA Phonology Seminar for their time and insights.

²The Normal form has also been called the short form (Sohn 1980) and the discourse form (Norquest 2001).

- The Citation form is the speech register used in traditional songs and for clarification.³ Gegeo and Watson-Gegeo (1986) write that these forms are also used in alternation in calling out routines (a ritualized, songlike speech style).
- Previous research has argued that locations of CV metathesis in the Normal register are conditioned by the stress pattern (Laycock 1982, Blevins and Garrett 1998, Norquest 2001, Heinz 2004).

2 The Third Allomorph – Focus Final Form

- First, I will demonstrate where this allomorph occurs.
- Second, I will identify its relevant phonological properties.

2.1 Distribution

- Kwara'ae is SVO.
 - (3) kier so.ŋei? lea? [na '?i·h.ˌtei?]. they make well the bed

 They skillfully built the bed.
- Focus position in Kwara'ae is akin to the position of a clefted phrase in English; i.e. it occurs before the subject of the verb.
- The Focus Final Form (in bold) is the last word of a phrase in focus position in Kwara'ae.
 - (4) [na '**ʔi·h.'tei̯.'ʔi**] ne·ʔ ki̯ɛr so.ŋei̞ʔ leaʔ an. the bed that they make well to It is the bed that they skillfully built.
- We can see that it is the last word of a clefted phrase by considering focused objects with adjectives, which follow the noun.
 - (5) kier so.ŋei? lea? [na ?i·h.tei? 'ku·l]. they make well the bed heavy They skillfully built the heavy bed.
 - (6) [na ?i'h.teiʔ ˌku'.'lu] ne'ʔ kier so.ŋeiʔ leaʔ an. the bed heavy that they make well to It is the heavy bed that they skillfully built.
- Another set of examples is given below.
 - (7) nịa·? ʔain na bae.na·h kwa·s ma ka 'gwair He ate the pineapple ripe and non-future cold He ate the cold ripe pineapple.

³The Citation form has also been called the long form (Sohn 1980), historical form (Simons 1977, Blevins and Garrett 1998), or underlying form (Sohn 1980, Gegeo and Watson-Gegeo 1986).

- (8) [na 'bae.na'.ha] ne'? nia'? ?ain the pineapple—that he—ate—It's the pineapple that he ate.
- (9) [na bae.na'h ˌkwa'.'sa] ne'? nia'? ?ain the pineapple ripe that he ate It's the ripe pineapple that he ate.
- (10) [na bae.na'h k^wa's ma ka g^wai.'ri] ne'? nia'? ?ain the pineapple ripe and non-future cold that he ate It's the cold ripe pineapple that he ate.
- Since the Focus Final Form occurs in Normal discourse, I assume it belongs to the Normal register.

2.2 Phonological Properties

• Examples:

(11)		Citation	Normal	$Normal]_{focus}$	
	a.	'ku.lu	ˈku · l	ku [.] .'lu	'heavy'
	b.	$\mathrm{g^w a.ri}$	$g^w a \dot{g} r$	g ^w ai. ri	'cold'
	c.	k^w a.sa	${}^{'}k^{w}a$'s	k^w a'. sa	'ripe'
	d.	bae. na.fa	'bae. na h	bae na ha	'pineapple'
	e.	'?i.hi. _, te.?i	'?i·h.ˌtei̯?	?i·h. te <u>i</u> . ?i	'bed'
	f.	${ m 'si.na}$	ˈsi̞εn	sie. na	'sun'
	g.	fi.'?i.ta.ˌta.li	fi·?.ta. teil	fi·?.ta. tei. li	'hibiscus (bush)'
	h.	bu.lu. bu.lu	burl. burl	bul. bu [.] . lu	'star'

- Main stress falls on the final syllable of the Focus Final form.
- The vowel qualities are not independent from each other. See Appendix A for a vowel chart.
 - The quality of the second element of the diphthong before the final vowel is predictable from the first element of the diphthong and the final vowel.
 - Similarly, the final vowel is predictable from the preceding diphthong.
- This suggests they are derived from the same vowel.
- It is noteworthy that Blevins and Garrett (1998) suggest that CV metathesis is a diachronic process of copy and deletion:⁴

(12)
$$C_1V_1C_2V_2 > C_1V_1V_2C_2V_2 > C_1V_1V_2C_2$$

• Thus, the third allomorph appears to exhibit partial metathesis; i.e. the copying but not the deletion.⁵

3

⁴Blevins and Garrett (1998) give some evidence from Kwara'ae to support this hypothesis. Transcriptions from Andrew Pawley circa 1982 have some Normal forms as $[C_1V_1V_2C_2V_2]$. See Appendix B.

⁵I have recently learned that the partial metathesis is in fact optional. In other words, *sina* 'sun' may be pronounced [si.'na] as a Final Focus form. This talk does not address Focus Final forms like [si.'na], though the analysis presented readily extends to this case.

3 Analysis of the Focus Final Form

- The above facts, together with the observation in the literature that CV metathesis is a stress-conditioned phenomena (Blevins and Garrett 1998, Norquest 2001, Heinz 2004), suggest that the focus final stress pattern blocks complete CV metathesis at the right edge of the word.
- There are two questions:
 - Why is there no deletion?
 - Why is there copying?

3.1 Basic Ingredients

3.1.1 The Moraic Grid Prince (1983)

- I use a moraic analysis, where light syllables (CV) project one mora, and heavy syllables (CVV, CVC, etc.) project two. A mora is represented by level 0 in moraic grid. Secondary stress is level 1, primary stress is level 2, and phrasal stress in level 3.
- Following Prince, heavy syllables cannot bear X1 grid marks on its weak mora; e.g. Normal $\overset{X}{\underset{XX}{\times}}$ $\overset{X}{\underset{[s\,\dot{\imath}\,\epsilon\,n]}{\times}}$ 'sun', but not *['s $\dot{\imath}\,\epsilon\,n$].

3.1.2 Complete CV Metathesis

- CV metathesis occurs in the Normal form because stressed syllables should be heavy. In other words, the Stress to Weight Principle outranks Linearity(Norquest 2001, Heinz 2004).
 - (13) **SWP** incurs a violation for each stressed light syllable in the output.
 - (14) **Linearity** incurs a violation for each segment in the output that precedes a segment that it succeeded in the input and vice versa (No metathesis).⁶

		/sina	a/7	SWP	LINEARITY
(15)	쪻	a.	ˈsi̯ɛn		*
		b.	ˈsi.na	*!	

3.1.3 Focus-Stress and Integrity

- I assume there is a constraint regulating placement of stress next to the rightmost focus-phrase boundary:
 - (16) **Focus-Stress** incurs a violation for every X0 grid mark between the right focus boundary and an X3 grid mark, or, if there are no X3 gridmarks, then every X0 grid mark incurs a violation (place phrasal stress on the mora closest to the right focus boundary).

⁶This is the formal definition, but I will score violations by instances of metathesis. As in Hume (2001), if the metathesizing segments are not adjacent, further violations are scored.

⁷Heinz (2004) gives reasons why we should consider underlying forms to be like /sina/.

- I assume that the final vowel and the second element of the diphthong are derived from the same underlying vowel, in violation of Integrity (McCarthy and Prince 1995).
 - (17)**Integrity** incurs a violation for every pair of segments in the output which correspond to the same segment in the input.

Why There Is No Deletion 3.2

• Focus-Stress is high ranked so that it forces a stressed syllable word-finally in the focus final position, in violation of SWP. Consider sina 'sun'.

	$/\mathrm{sina}]_{focus}/$	Focus-Stress	Integrity	SWP	LINEARITY
(18)			*	*	*
	b. χ x siεn	*!			*

- stress (Prince 1983).
- As a result, deletion (and thus complete metathesis) is blocked word-finally.

Why There Is Copying

• Why is there partial metathesis? I.e. What motivates copying? Why not [si.na]?

3.3.1 Output to Output Faithfulness to the Normal form

- Partial metathesis occurs to make the Focus Final Form more similar to the Normal form.
 - (19)OO V-V Contiguity incurs a violation if a V₁ immediately precedes V₂ in the Normal form, but the segment corresponding to V_1 in the Focus Final form does not immediately precede the segment corresponding to V₂ in the Focus Final form. (Contiguous vowels in the Normal form must be contiguous in the Focus Final Form.)
- This constraint ensures that contiguous vowels in the Normal elsewhere form are present in the Focus Final form; i.e. the Focus Final form of sina 'sun' [sie. na] has the same contiguous vowels of the Normal form [siɛn].

	$/\mathrm{si_1na_2}]$	focus/, Normal [ˈsi̯ɛn]	Focus-Stress	OO VVContig	Integrity
	r a.	$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{s}_{1}_{1} \epsilon_{2}. \mathbf{n} \mathbf{a}_{2} \end{array}$			*
(20)	b.	$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{s}1_1.\mathbf{n}\mathbf{a}_2 \end{array}$		*!	
	c.	$\begin{array}{c} x \\ x \\ x \\ x \\ x \\ x \\ x \end{array}$	*!*		*

3.3.2 Why Partial Metathesis Cannot be Motivated by SWP

• Note that a candidate with partial metathesis does better with respect to SWP than the candidate without partial metathesis.

	$/\mathrm{si_1na_2}]_{focus}/$	SWP	Integrity
(21)	$\begin{array}{cccc} & & & X & \\ & & X & X & X & X & X & X &$	*	*
	$\begin{array}{ccc} & x \\ x & x \\ x & x \\ b. & x & x \\ si_1.na_2 \end{array}$	**!	

• But without OO VVCONTIG, this requires ranking Integrity below SWP, which makes incorrect predictions elsewhere. (22) shows why the ranking Integrity \gg SWP must hold with forms like *korea* 'to marry'.

	/ko	rea/	Integrity	SWP
(22)	a.	ˈko.ˌre̯a		*
	b.	ˈko̯e.ˌre̯a	*!	

- The same problem occurs even if different constraints are employed other than SWP.
 - Syllable Economy. Words should have a minimum of syllables (Sohn 1980). Violating INTEGRITY cannot result in fewer syllables.
 - Unstressed Syllable Economy. Words should have a minimum of unstressed syllables. daro'anida is Normal ['daor.?a.,nied], not *['daor.,?ai,.nied] 'to share them'.

4 Conclusion and Summary

- There is a third allomorph in the Normal register of Kwara'ae with partial metathesis.
- This allomorph is the last word of a focused (i.e. clefted) phrase.
- Deletion of the final vowel is blocked because phrasal stress is required to fall as close to the right focal boundary as possible and stress cannot fall on the weak mora of a syllable.
- Copying in the Focus Final form cannot occur for the same reason metathesis occurs elsewhere in Kwara'ae; instead, it occurs in order to be faithful to contiguous vowels in Normal form.

A Normal Form Vowel Qualities

• The following table summarizes how the diphthong in the Normal form is predictably derived from two vowels from the set [i,u,e,o,a].

	V_1V_2		V_2					
	V I	V 2	i	u	е	О	a	
		i	i'	į́u	\otimes	io	įε	
		u	<u>u</u> i	u'	ūε	\oslash	uΛ	
(23)	V_1	е	$\stackrel{ ext{ei}}{\circ}$	eu	13	eo	еa	
		О	oį	où	oe, ue	Э'	$\circ a$	
		a	ai, ei, e	au, o'	æ, ae	$\overset{\circ}{a}$	a'	
	$\oslash = unattested$							
	Nuc	lei f	ollowing a	ʻ,' occui	in fast	speed	ch	

- The quality of the second element of the diphthong is predictable given V_1 and V_2 .
- Likewise, given any cell, V₂ is predictable.

B Voiceless Vowels in the Normal form

- Blevins and Garrett (1998) give some evidence from Kwara'ae to support this hypothesis. Transcriptions from Andrew Pawley circa 1982 have some Normal forms as $[C_1V_1V_2C_2V_2]$.
- In this data, voiceless vowels occur in the Normal form following any consonant except nasals, as long as V₂ is higher or the same height as V₁, which is the case in (24), but not in (25), which are taken from Blevins and Garrett (1998, p. 530).

• I found a different distribution of voiceless vowels. In my data, they occur optionally in the Normal form, primarily word finally after the laryngeals [?] and [h], and somewhat less regularly word-finally after the continuants [l] and [s], and nowhere else. Relative vowel height does not matter, cf. 'stealing' and 'always'.

(26)		Citation	Normal	
	a.	bi.ˈli.ʔa	ˈbi.ˌli̯ɛʔɛၘ	'stealing'
		i.du. fa.?i	ˈi̯ud.ˌhei̯ʔı̞	'always'
		ma.?u	maŭ3å	'fear'
		'u.?a	,ἤε3έ	'crab'
	b.	'?a.fe	'?aehe	'wife'
		ka.fo	kaoho	'water'
		ka.'ta.fo	ˈka.ˌtao̯ho̯	'papaya'
	c.	'bu.su	'bu'su	'to burst'
		li. mau. mu.lu	li.mau. mu'lu	'your (pl.) hands'

• The overall picture, however, is in line with Blevins and Garrett's (1998) claim that the voiceless vowels are a residue of the former vowel. The speaker I work with most likely belongs to the next generation of speakers than the ones Pawley worked with over twenty years ago. Because her speech contains optional voiceless vowels in fewer positions overall, its reasonable that her speech pattern reflects another stage of the decline of the final vowel.

References

Blevins, Juliette and Andrew Garrett. 1998. The Origins of Consonant-Vowel Metathesis. *Language* 74(3):508–556.

Gegeo, David and Karen-Ann Watson-Gegeo. 1986. Calling-out and repeating routines in Kwara'ae children's language and socialization. In *Language Socialization Across Cultures*, edited by Elinor Ochs Bambi B. Schieffelin. Cambridge University Press, pages 17–50.

Heinz, Jeffrey. 2004. CV Metathesis in Kwara'ae. Master's thesis, University of California, Los Angeles.

Hume, Elizabeth. 2001. Metathesis: Formal and Functional Considerations. In *Surface Syllable Structure and Segment Sequencing*, edited by Jeroen van de Weijer Elizabeth Hume, Norval Smith. pages 1–25. HIL Occasional Papers.

Laycock, Don. 1982. Metathesis in Austronesian: Ririo and Other Cases. In *Papers from the Third International Conference on Austronesian Linguistics: Currents in Oceanic*, edited by S.A. Wurm Amran Halim, Lois Carrington, Pacific Linguistics C-74. pages 269–281.

McCarthy, John and Alan Prince. 1995. Faithfulness and Reduplicative Identity. In *Papers in Optimality Theory*, edited by Jill Beckman, Laura Walsh Dickey, and Suzanne Urbanczyk, number 18 in University of Massuchusetts Occasional Papers in Linguistics. pages 249–384.

Norquest, Peter. 2001. The Collapse of the Foot in Oceanic. In *Proceedings of the Western Conference of Linguistics (WECOL)* 2001.

Prince, Alan. 1983. Relating to the Grid. Linguistic Inquiry 14(1).

Simons, Gary. 1977. A Kwara'ae Spelling List. Working Papers for the Language Variation and Limits to Communication Project Cornell University and Summer Institute of Linguistics.

Sohn, Ho-Min. 1980. An Analysis of Metathesis in Kwara'ae. Lingua 52.